

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,365	EVERY ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Abandoned

(1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo

(3) _____

(2) Ms. Katherine Lobel-Rice, J. D.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 February 2007
Time: ~1:40 pm EST
Type of Interview:
 Telephonic

 Video Conference

 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
None
Claims discussed:
None
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Applicants' legal representative, Ms. Katherine Lobel-Rice, J. D. to inquire about the status of the instant application. Attorney Lobel-Rice confirmed that the instant application was abandoned and a continuation application was filed.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)